SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REPORT 2019

Transformations to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals



SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REPORT 2019

Transformations to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals

Mediterranean Countries Edition







September 2019	
© Mediterranean Sustainable Development Solutions Network	
This report has been prepared by the team of independent experts at the University of Sie behalf of SDSN Mediterranean. It is strictly based on the <i>Sustainable Development Report</i> Bertelsmann Stiftung and the Sustainable Development Solution Network (SDSN), as a dec Mediterranean countries.	2019, prepared by the

Acknowledgements

The Sustainable Development Report 2019 – Mediterranean Countries Edition presents an overview of results achieved for 23 Mediterranean countries extracted from the Sustainable Development Report 2019. It was prepared by a team of experts of the University of Siena – Santa Chiara Lab, as the hosting institution of the Sustainable Development Solutions Network for the Mediterranean Area (SDSN-Mediterranean). The report was coordinated by Riccardo M. Pulselli under the direction of Angelo Riccaboni (SDSN Mediterranean) and the overall supervision of Jeffrey D. Sachs and Guido Schmidt-Traub. Lead writers are Riccardo M. Pulselli, Massimo Gigliotti, Simone Cresti, Angelo Riccaboni (SDSN Mediterranean).

The Mediterranean Countries Edition follows the layout of the Sustainable Development Report 2019 and partially replicates texts, tables and figures with a specific focus on Mediterranean countries. Compared to the original document, it provides a few minor changes and integrations concerning the interpretation of results in the Med Area and recommendations to frame the implementation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in terms of six broad Transformations.

Scope of the report is to facilitate the reading of the *Sustainable Development Report 2019* through the optic of Mediterranean countries in order to share knowledge on current trends towards SDGs and drive common action.

To cite this report, please mention the following reference:

Sachs, J., Schmidt-Traub, G., Pulselli, R.M., Gigliotti, M., Cresti, S., Riccaboni, A. (2019): *Sustainable Development Report 2019 – Mediterranean Countries Edition*. Siena: Sustainable Development Solutions Network Mediterranean (SDSN Mediterranean).

Table of Contents

Foreword	7
Executive Summary	8
1. Six Transformations to achieve the SDGs	10
1.1 High-level public statements for sustainable development	12
1.2 Strategic use of public practices and procedures for the goals	12
1.3 Content of government strategies and policy actions	13
2. The SDG Index and Dashboards	1
2.1 The SDG Dashboard	18

List of Mediterranean countries

Country	Id	Region
Albania	ALB	E. Europe & C. Asia
Algeria	DZA	MENA
Bosnia and Herzegovina	BIH	E. Europe & C. Asia
Croatia	HRV	E. Europe & C. Asia
Cyprus	СҮР	E. Europe & C. Asia
Egypt, Arab Rep.	EGY	MENA
France	FRA	OECD
Greece	GRC	OECD
Israel	ISR	OECD
Italy	ITA	OECD
Jordan	JOR	MENA
Lebanon	LBN	MENA
Libya	LBY	MENA
Malta	MLT	E. Europe & C. Asia
Morocco	MAR	MENA
Montenegro	MNE	E. Europe & C. Asia
North Macedonia	MKD	E. Europe & C. Asia
Portugal	PRT	OECD
Slovenia	SVN	OECD
Spain	ESP	OECD
Syrian Arab Republic	SYR	MENA
Tunisia	TUN	MENA
Turkey	TUR	OECD



"Four years after the adoption of the SDGs and the Paris Agreement, no Mediterranean country is yet on track to meeting all the goals. Gradual progress and policy changes will not be enough. Deep transformations to achieve the SDGs and the Paris Climate Agreement are feasible, necessary, and urgent.

We need leadership, by governments, businesses, citizens and all the stakeholders in the Mediterranean region to move this great region, a beloved and ancient home of humanity, to prosperity, social inclusion, and environmental sustainability. And we need the leadership of the Mediterranean regions to help convince all nations of the world to act with the needed urgency".

Jeffrey Sachs

Director Sustainable Development Solutions Network



"The Mediterranean region presents high environmental, social and cultural diversity and challenges. This report highlights priorities and weaknesses. To deal with them, transnational partnerships, even if often complicated, are crucial. Promising examples exist. More needs to be done.

The growing attention to sustainable development displayed by the European Commission, civil society and businesses gives a great beacon of hope. But the real change is coming from youngsters. We all must support them and answer to their requests".

Angelo Riccaboni

Chair Mediterranean Sustainable Development Solutions Network

Foreword

The Sustainable Development Report 2019, prepared jointly by the Bertelsmann Stiftung and the Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN), is the fourth edition of the annual review of countries' performance on the 17 Sustainable Development Goals. The Report includes the SDG Index and Dashboards, covering all 193 UN member states and presents data on changes over time in SDG indicators, as well as calculations for trajectories until 2030.

We are pleased to launch the Sustainable Development Report 2019 - Mediterranean Countries Edition as a synthetic review of the Sustainable Development Report 2019, including integrated contents and tables editing, specifically focussing on 23 Mediterranean countries with a population of 534 million people. Scope of the report is to facilitate the interpretation of the SDG Index through aggregated results in order to better understand current trends towards SDGs and drive common action in the Mediterranean area. It is intended as a complementary contribution to the Sustainable Development Report 2019 whose reading is anyhow recommended for a proper understanding of concepts and results relative to world trends.

This initiative is among the activities performed at the University of Siena - Santa Chiara Lab, as hosting institution of the SDSN Mediterranean, to raise awareness on the SDGs and foster the implementation of transformation strategies. In the future, the review process can possibly become more systematic and be further improved by engaging thematic experts from South Europe, Middle East and North Africa, to identify and share possible solutions according to their expertise, and select best practices and policies most suitable for different Mediterranean contexts.

To this regard, the SDSN Mediterranean plans to identify groups of specialists in centres of excellence to deal with specific subjects concerning each of the 6 SDG Transformations outlined by the *Sustainable Development Report 2019* to support governments and businesses in Mediterranean countries to develop a clear implementation strategy towards the 17 SDGs. For instance, according to different expertise, the Santa Chiara Lab - University of Siena (Italy) is the reference hub for the transformation topic "Sustainable Food, Land, Water and Oceans"; the *ATHENA Research and Innovation Center* (SDSN-Greece) for the "Energy Decarbonisation and Sustainable Industry"; *The Cyprus Institute* (SDSN-Cyprus) for "Sustainable Cities and Communities". Other expert groups will be identified to manage and promote action for "Health, Wellbeing and Demography", "Education, Gender and Inequality", and "Harnessing the Digital Revolution for Sustainable Development".

The average value of the SDG Index (71.6) hypothetically locates the Mediterranean region around the 49th position of the world rank. Besides the good values assessed for SDG 1 (countries are progressing well towards ending poverty), the Mediterranean area obtains worst ratings of the index on SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 5 (Gender Equality), SDG 9 (Industry Innovation and Infrastructure) and SDG 14 (Life below Water). Consistent efforts must be addressed to improve policies and practices in the food sector, for instance, promoting sustainable agriculture and healthy behaviours concerning diets and food waste; action is needed for supporting and improving women integration at all levels of society; public and private investments addressed to SDG-oriented research and innovation must rise sharply in most countries by increasing domestics resources and international development assistance (references to the SDGs in national budgets still lack in most of the Mediterranean countries); biodiversity conservation remains a priority especially concerning human impacts on freshwater and the sea.

We hope that this report will be used by policy makers at different levels (from sovra-national to local) as science-policy interface tool. We expect that the readers, both experts and non experts, will find it informative and useful for their work on the SDGs. Any suggestion for further deployment, development, and improvement will be much appreciated.

Executive Summary

The Sustainable Development Report 2019 presents an updated SDG Index and Dashboards with a refined assessment of countries' distance to SDG targets. A website and data visualization tools are available for open consultation at the link: https://dashboards.sdgindex.org/#/.

The *Mediterranean Countries Edition* 2019 provides an overview of results for the 23 countries of the Mediterranean area in order to support the deployment of the SDGs in the region. Following the original layout, the first session shows in a short summary the SDSN general approach for the SDGs implementation through six broad Transformations. The second session presents the SDG Index and Dashboard for the Mediterranean region.

The Sustainable Development Report 2019 generates seven major findings that briefly present the SDSN general approach and allow for determining a common operative framework. Here, we replicate them with proper integrations by referring to the 23 Mediterranean countries.

1. High-level political commitment to the SDGs is falling short of historic promises

In September 2019, heads-of-states and governments will convene for the first time in person at the UN in New York to review progress on their promises made four years after the adoption of the 2030 Agenda. Out of 23 countries, 22 have presented (2016-2018) or are going to present (2019-2020) their Voluntary National Reviews. Endorsements of the SDGs in official statements or central budget documents at national level are still missing and are highly recommended in the next future. As stated by the SDSN, "this gap between rhetoric and action must be closed".

2. The SDGs can be operationalized through six SDG Transformations

SDG implementation can be organized along the following Transformations: 1. Education, Gender, and Inequality; 2. Health, Wellbeing, and Demography; 3. Energy Decarbonisation and Sustainable Industry; 4. Sustainable Food, Land, Water, Oceans; 5. Sustainable Cities and Communities; and 6. Digital Revolution for Sustainable Development. The transformations respect strong interdependencies across the SDGs and can be operationalized by well-defined parts of governments in collaboration with civil society, business, and other stakeholders. They must be underpinned and guided by the principles of Leave No One Behind and Circularity and Decoupling of resource use from human wellbeing.

3. Actions for climate and socio-economic issues have been undertaken and need further improvement.

Mediterranean countries perform better on goals related to socio-economic outcomes (SDG 1 - No Poverty; SDG 3 - Good Health and Well-Being; SDG 4 - Quality Education) and climate mitigation (SDG 7 - Affordable and Clean Energy; SDG 13 - Climate Action). Nevertheless, trends often show stagnation or even decrease and consistent interventions are expected, also in terms of transnational cooperation. Efforts to decrease country rates of greenhouse gas emissions remain a priority in accordance with the IPCC report on climate change mitigation.

4. Trends on biodiversity and social integration are alarming

Major performance gaps, also in top countries, concern biodiversity conservation (SDG 14 - Life below Water, and, partially, SDG 15 - Life on Land) and social integration (SDG 5 - Gender Equality; SDG 10 - Reduced Inequality). Trends on threatened species are moving in the wrong direction, in line with the recent report from the IPBES on climate biodiversity protection. Initiatives to improve equality among individuals, especially gender, are needed also in European countries. Women in OECD countries continue to spend an average of 2 hours more than men a day doing unpaid work.

5. Sustainable land-use and healthy diets require integrated agriculture, climate and health policy interventions

Critical issues also concern sustainable agriculture and diets (SDG 2 - Zero Hunger) mostly depending on unsustainable practices and critical yield gaps in agriculture and on wrong attitudes of food consumption determining increasing rates of obesity and health diseases. Transformations towards sustainable land use and food systems are required to balance efficient and resilient agriculture and forestry with biodiversity conservation and restoration as well as healthy diets. Consistent efforts are also needed in terms of investments for innovation (SDG 9 - Industry innovation and infrastructure).

6. High-income countries generate high environmental and socio-economic spillover effects

Domestic implementation of the SDGs should not undermine other countries' ability to achieve the goals. International demand for palm oil and other commodities fuels tropical deforestation. Tax havens and banking secrecy

undermine other countries' ability to raise the public revenues needed to finance the SDGs. Tolerance for poor labor standards in international supply chains harms the poor and particularly women in many developing countries. New evidence presented in this report shows that high-income countries generate negative impacts on fatal accidents at work, typically by importing products and services from low- and middle-income countries with poor labor standards and conditions.

7. Human rights and freedom of speech are in danger in numerous countries

Under SDG 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions), fair and transparent institutions are recognized as objectives in themselves but also as important levers for sustainable development. Yet, conflicts in some areas of the Middle East and North Africa continue to lead to reversals in SDG progress. Modern slavery remains an issue, in particular in low-income countries. Trends on corruption and freedom of press are worsening in many countries, including in a number of middle and high-income countries.

1. Six Transformations to achieve the SDGs

The 2030 Agenda is composed of 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and represents the common international political program that the 193 UN member countries have set themselves to reach by 2030. It was officially adopted on September 25, 2015, at a UN Summit attended by over 150 heads of state. The 17 SDGs (Figure 1) are subdivided and better specified by 169 specific targets and the Agenda also includes recommendations on how nations should proceed in the implementation of the goals. It represents an international opportunity of transformation for humanity, a global vision for prosperity, people and the planet, which considers the three pillars of sustainable development: environmental protection, social inclusion and economic development.

With the 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals countries have committed themselves to time-bound targets for Prosperity, People, Planet, Peace, and Partnership (United Nations 2015) - known as the five P's. They recognize that ending poverty must go hand-in-hand with strategies that build economic growth and address a range of social needs including education, health, social protection, and job opportunities, while tackling climate change and environmental protection. The Paris Agreement, which is part of the SDG framework, requires every country to achieve net zero greenhouse gas emissions by mid-century.

Figure 1 | 17 Sustainable Development Goals - SDGs



This section is a short summary of the Sustainable Development Report 2019 - part I, partially reporting contents and general recommendations that also apply to Mediterranean countries. When appropriate, it has been integrated with specific information concerning the Mediterranean area. The reading of the original report is anyhow recommended for full comprehension of the SDSN general developmental approach.

The Sustainable Development Report 2019 presents a clear picture of the state of the art of UN countries, measuring the distance from ideal accomplishments of the SDG targets. Moreover, aimed at identifying driving principles to share, it proposes that SDGs implementation be organized in six broad Transformations as part of an articulated operative framework to meet the 17 SDGs and the underlying 169 targets. This would allow governments and other stakeholders to determine how to organize interventions – such as improved policies, public and private investments, and regulation – and how to design effective strategies for achieving the SDGs.

The general recommendations provided by the SDSN are expected to be adapted to any specific context in the world, such as the Mediterranean region, and interpreted according to site-specific social, environmental and economic backgrounds. Figure 2 (Sachs et al. 2019) lists the six Transformations and their potential interactions with the SDGs, given that each transformation contributes to several SDGs and, similarly, the outcomes for each SDG require contributions from more than one Transformation. The benefit of the Transformations is that they group SDG interventions in ways that promote effective implementation strategies by governments, business, and civil society.

Figure 2 | 6 SDG Transformations

Leave No One Behind

- 1. EDUCATION, GENDER, AND INEQUALITY SDGS 1, 5, 7-10, 12-15, 17
- 2. HEALTH, WELLBEING, AND DEMOGRAPHY SDGS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10
- 3. ENERGY DECARBONIZATION AND SUSTAINABLE INDUSTRY SDGS 1-16
- 4. SUSTAINABLE FOOD, LAND, WATER, AND OCEANS SDGS 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 10-15
- 5. SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES SDGS 1-16
- 6. DIGITAL REVOLUTION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT SDGS 1-4, 7-13, 17



Circularity and Decoupling



1. Education, Gender, and Inequality. Involving ministries of Education, Science and Technology, Gender Equality and Family Affairs this Transformation covers investments in education (early childhood development, primary and secondary education, vocational training and higher education), social protection systems and labor standards, and R&D. It directly targets SDGs 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 10, and reinforces other SDG outcomes.



2. Health, Wellbeing, and Demography. Groups interventions to ensure Universal Health Coverage (UHC), promote healthy behaviors, and address social determinants of health and wellbeing. It directly targets SDGs 2, 3, and 5 with strong synergies into many other goals. Implementation will need to be led by ministries of health.



3. Energy Decarbonization and Sustainable Industry. This transformation groups investments in energy access; the decarbonization of power, transport, buildings, and industry; and curbing industrial pollution. It directly targets SDGs 3, 6, 7, 9, 11-15, and reinforces several other goals. Implementation will require coordination across a large number of industries, including energy, transport, buildings, and environment.



4. Sustainable Food, Land, Water and Oceans. Interventions to make food and other agricultural or forest production systems more productive and resilient to climate change must be coordinated with efforts to conserve and restore biodiversity and to promote healthy diets alongside major reductions in food waste and losses. Important trade-offs exist between these interventions, so we recommend identifying and addressing them inside one transformation, which will need to mobilize a broad range of ministries, such as agriculture, forestry, environment, natural resources, and health. This broad transformation directly promotes SDGs 2, 3, 6, and 12-15. Many other SDGs are reinforced by these investments.



5. Sustainable Cities and Communities. Cities, towns, and other communities require integrated investments in infrastructure, urban services, as well as resilience to climate change. These interventions target of course SDG 11 and they also contribute directly to goals 6, 9, and 11. Indirectly virtually all SDGs are supported by this transformation, which relies on leadership from the ministries of transport, urban development, and



6. Harnessing the Digital Revolution for Sustainable Development. If managed well, digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence and modern communication technologies can make major contributions towards virtually all SDGs.

Source: Based on TWI2050 (2018) and advice from members of the SDSN Leadership Council.

Transformations describe major societal changes that potentially affect current behaviours, for example in terms of resource use, governance mechanisms, technological innovation, and social relations. In order to ensure technical feasibility, efficient investments and policy coherence, each transformation must be designed and implemented to uphold fairness and social inclusion and to reduce humanity's environmental footprint by promoting circularity in material flows and decoupling environmental impact from human well-being. Moreover, it should be actively promoted and supported from all parts of society. In this regard, high emphasis is addressed to participatory practices and the capacity to involve stakeholders in cooperative decision processes. "Achieving the SDGs requires deep changes to policies, investments, and technologies. But success will not be possible without social activism that mobilizes stakeholders and changes norms to enable the SDG Transformations. Similarly, international diplomacy and international collaboration are critical underpinnings of achieving the SDGs, particularly to address international spillover effects, including international development finance where needed".

Indeed, the six Transformations framework calls for intensive government efforts to implement the long-term objectives of the 2030 Agenda and the Paris Agreement. Actions can be determined and measured on three principal layers: (1) high-level public statements by governments in support of sustainable development; (2) strategic use of public practices and procedures for the goals; (3) content of government strategies and policy actions.

1.1 High-level public statements for sustainable development

Political leadership and high-level commitments are crucial to achieve the SDGs.

The existence of Voluntary National Reviews (VNRs) under the High-Level Political Forum for the 2030 Agenda is a first condition to track continued political support for the SDGs. The decision to conduct a VNR is considered as a signal of high-level commitments to report on national initiatives for implementing the goals. Overall, between 2016 and 2018, 14 Mediterranean countries presented their VNRs at the annual UN High-Level-Political-Forum (Source: https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/vnrs/). Also, 8 VNRs from Mediterranean countries are scheduled to be presented in 2019 and 2020 (Syria is the only missing). Together these countries host a population of 534 million people representing almost 7% of the global population and good shares of economic and trade activities. Besides VNRs, heads-of-states' and cabinet members' speeches in support of the goals are also considered among the effective issues to further improve SDGs implementation. However, the SDSN clearly states that "government efforts for the SDGs requires going beyond rhetoric to look at the integration of the SDGs into public practices, including policies and government actions".

1.2 Strategic use of public practices and procedures for the goals

High-level political intentions need to be followed-up by efforts to mobilize the machinery of government to achieve long-term objectives.

Establishing dedicated Centers of Governments is among the expected actions to accomplish SDG Transformations. These would be in charge to advice to the heads-of-states and council of ministers and support a whole-ofgovernment approach to SDGs implementation that integrates across departments and sectors. The Sustainable Development Report 2019 assessed for countries that have either identified a lead central/federal government body or have set up an inter-ministerial committee or task force responsible for coordinating the implementation of the goals. This information is not easily available yet for Mediterranean countries and the monitoring of action taken in this sense should be improved in the next years.

Budgeting practices and procedures for financing the SDG Transformations require large-scale increases in public and private investments, especially in poorest countries that will need to greatly increase domestic resource mobilization in the context of increased international development finance flows. The Sustainable Development Report 2019 shows that governments of Mediterranean countries have not conducted (or sponsored) an estimate of incremental financing needs to implement the SDGs; only a few countries mentioned the SDGs or related terms (such as 2030 Agenda) in their latest national budget document; only Spain mentions the SDGs in the latest national budget documents.

National SDG monitoring mechanisms need to have access to timely, disaggregated data on the SDGs. The Sustainable Development Report 2019 finds there is no common approach across countries for monitoring SDG implementation. The number of national indicators, the frequency and the methodology for measuring distance to SDG targets vary greatly among Mediterranean countries. Governments, international organizations, business, and civil society should increase their investments in more and better data for SDG implementation. "New sources of data, including big data,

remote sensing, and satellite imagery, can help bridge data gaps in official statistics and support evidence-based policymaking".

Stakeholder engagement mechanisms are crucial to promote broad public support for each transformation. The scientific community can potentially play an important role in the Mediterranean area to develop tools and methods for multi-stakeholder engagement and co-design that are consistent with the need for technical feasibility of longterm pathways as well as the urgency to implement the transformations. Consultations around the design and implementation of the SDG Transformations should be conducted on a continuous basis.

Among most effective initiatives, the Mediterranean Action Plan of the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP-MAP) has identified a common long term strategy for the protection of the marine and costal environment of the Mediterranean sea. Through a close collaboration with the governments of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention (signed in 1976 from 22 Mediterranean Countries and the European Union), UNEP-MAP promotes and facilitates the adoption of policies at the state level, supporting such decision making process through scientific evidences. SDSN Mediterranean is member of the Mediterranean Commission for the Sustainable Development (MCSD), the advisory board that assists the Contracting Parties, to let them integrate the Agenda 2030 principles and the SDGs in their policies. The MCSD acts to engage, on an equal footing, a number of stakeholders, including government representatives, local authorities, socioeconomic actors, IGOs, and NGOs. The MCSD coordinated the preparation of the Mediterranean Strategy on Sustainable Development (MSSD), which was adopted by the Contracting Parties in 2005 and in 2016 as updated version (more info on UNEP-MAP in Box 1).

A deeper understanding of what represents best practice and how these might vary depending on context and traditions is also an issue. Research and surveys of case studies are needed to generate actionable knowledge on how to mobilize the machinery of government for the SDGs. In this regard, the development of open access repositories of successful experiences and solutions in the Mediterranean region can contribute to support SDG deployment and the emergence of new transnational partnerships. One example is the PRIMA Observatory on Innovation (details in Box 2), created by the Italian Secretariat of PRIMA, specifically focussed on research, innovation, education and best practices about Agro-Food systems. Systems that collect most successful experiences and guarantee open access to information to allow for mainstreaming and replicability are desirable tools for any of the SDGs topics.

Education and knowledge transfer are also fundamental processes to prompt action for Sustainable Development. In 2018, SDSN Mediterranean launched a Massive Open Online Course on the sustainability of food systems in the Mediterranean region, in collaboration with SDG Academy, the free online educational platform on Sustainable Development of the United Nations (https://sdgacademy.org/), and the Barilla Centre for Food and Nutrition Foundation (BCFN - https://www.barillacfn.com/en/). More than 3 thousands students enrolled the course demonstrated the high demand of education in this sector.

Among different stakeholders, citizens play a crucial role and activities of awareness raising and engagement of the "four helix" stakeholders are crucial. The Italian Alliance for the Sustainable Development (ASviS - https://asvis.it) is among the most interesting example of organizations aiming to engage the civil society in the effort of pushing local and regional governments towards the adoption of policies in the field of sustainability. The Sustainable Development Festival (https://festivalsvilupposostenibile.it/2019/english/#) is an annual event organized by ASviS to raise awareness and mobilize people and organizations for the Agenda 2030.

A wider survey on best practices for stakeholders engagement in Mediterranean countries is in progress in order to implement the next editions of this report and enhance action of National governments towards the SDGs.

1.3 Content of government strategies and policy actions

Content of policies, including national targets, long-term pathways, and intermediate objectives must be consistent with achieving the SDGs. It is not easy to determine how government efforts to achieve specific goals can be tracked and evaluated. For instance, regarding the objective of the Paris Agreement (keep the global temperature rise to well below 2°C), the Sustainable Development Report 2019 highlights that, only one Mediterranean country (Morocco) is on track for holding warming below 2°C, based on results from the Climate Action Tracker (CAT). Moreover, the high interconnection of SDGs often allows the emergence of the so called spillover effects determined as positive or negative effects that one country's action can have on other countries, affecting their ability to achieve the SDGs.

Transforming trade systems and value chains for sustainable development is among the most urgent actions, especially considering positive and negative spillovers. These can concern different groups: environmental spillovers covering international spillover effects related to the use of natural resources and pollution; spillovers related to the economy, finance, and governance including for example unfair tax competition, banking secrecy, international labor

standards and fatal accidents at work; security spillovers including negative externalities, such as the trade in arms and organized international crime, and positive effects, such as investments in conflict prevention and peacekeeping, including through the United Nations.

The understanding of positive and negative spillovers, their measurement and management, are considered crucial for improving overall rates of SDGs achievement in world regions. Table 1 shows the estimates of aggregated spillover effects embodied into trade at the country level for the Mediterranean Region.

Table 1 | General information and spillover Index for Mediterranean countries

Country	Id	Region	Income Group (2019)	Spillover score
Cyprus	CYP	E. Europe & C. Asia	HIC	49.6
France	FRA	OECD	HIC	61.5
Israel	ISR	OECD	HIC	62.0
Malta	MLT	E. Europe & C. Asia	HIC	62.9
Greece	GRC	OECD	HIC	64.6
Montenegro	MNE	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	65.0
Italy	ITA	OECD	HIC	65.6
Slovenia	SVN	OECD	HIC	70.0
Spain	ESP	OECD	HIC	70.1
Portugal	PRT	OECD	HIC	70.9
Lebanon	LBN	MENA	UMIC	84.2
Croatia	HRV	E. Europe & C. Asia	HIC	85.9
Jordan	JOR	MENA	UMIC	88.5
Albania	ALB	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	89.7
Turkey	TUR	OECD	UMIC	90.8
Tunisia	TUN	MENA	LMIC	93.2
North Macedonia	MKD	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	94.8
Morocco	MAR	MENA	LMIC	96.1
Bosnia and Herzegovina	BIH	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	96.4
Syrian Arab Republic	SYR	MENA	LIC	96.8
Algeria	DZA	MENA	UMIC	97.0
Egypt, Arab Rep.	EGY	MENA	LMIC	98.7
Libya	LBY	MENA	_	_
MED average (total)				80.0

Box 1 | UN Environmental Programme – Mediterranean Action Plan





United Nations Environment Programme

Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention

In 1974, the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP) launched its Regional Seas Programme with the scope of coordinating activities aimed at the protection of the marine environment through a regional approach. The Mediterranean Action Plan (MAP) was the first UNEP initiative to be developed under the Programme.

In 1975, Mediterranean States and the European Community approved the MAP as the institutional framework for cooperation in addressing common challenges of marine environmental degradation. MAP also endorsed the preparation of a framework convention for the protection of the marine environment against pollution, as well as two related protocols that would provide a legal basis for action in protecting the Mediterranean marine environment against pollution.

MAP's initial objectives were to assist the Mediterranean Governments to assess and control pollution, as well as to formulate their national marine environmental policies. Governments were committed to improve their capacities to identify better options for development and sound decision bases for the allocation of resources.

Even though the initial focus was on marine pollution control, experience soon confirmed that socio-economic trends, combined with poor management and planning, were at the root of most environmental problems. Meaningful and lasting environmental protection is thereby linked to social and economic development. The MAP's focus widened gradually from a sectorial approach to integrated coastal zone planning and management, as prime directive for solutions.

At their 19th Ordinary Meeting (COP19) held in Greece (Athens, 9-12 February 2016), the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean, including 21 Mediterranean countries and the European Union, adopted the revised Mediterranean Strategy for Sustainable Development (MSSD 2016-2025). MSSD is a an integrative policy framework and a strategic guiding document for all stakeholders and partners to translate the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development at the regional, sub- regional and national levels. In particular, it aims at adapting international commitments to regional conditions and promoting regional cooperation in the achievement of sustainable development objectives, especially by linking the need to protect the environment to socio-economic development.

MSSD 2016-2025 aims to contribute significantly to the long-term sustainable development vision of the Mediterranean region, especially within the context of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the adoption of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by the United Nations General Assembly in September 2015 (New York).

(Source: http://web.unep.org/unepmap/who-we-are/mediterranean-action-plan)

Box 2 | PRIMA Observatory on Innovation



The Italian Secretariat of PRIMA, in collaboration with MIUR, the Italian Minister for Education, Universities and Research, launched the PRIMA Observatory on Innovation (available at the link https://primaobservatory.unisi.it).

The Observatory is a digital platform collecting and sharing best practices in Agri-Food research, innovation and education in the MED area. It aims to analyse and monitor business dynamics and supply chains in the Italian and Euro-Mediterranean Agri-Food sector, paying specific attention to the new entrepreneurship, to promote new concrete solutions for communities and enterprises and to stimulates new partnerships among Euro Mediterranean researchers, innovators, businesses and stakeholders. It also disseminates positive and remarkable stories of innovation, using traditional media and social networks, by gathering the direct experiences of key players such as researchers, students, professors and entrepreneurs.

Main Goals of the Observatory are:

- To support new solutions based upon research and innovation;
- To promote partnerships among Euro Mediterranean researchers, innovators, enterprises, stakeholders;
- To attract new investments and interests.

Accordingly, the action of Digital Dissemination leverages on three concepts:

- Sharing good research and innovation practices;
- Valorising successful stories of innovation in Agri-Food systems, making them attractive and easy to understand;
- Being part of a large open network with freely accessible data.

The Observatory is an initiative implemented within the PRIMA Annual Work Plan 2018-2019.

PRIMA is a Euro-Mediterranean Research and Innovation Programme funded and managed by the European Commission through Horizon 2020 and 19 Euro-Mediterranean Countries.

MIUR (Ministry of Education, Universities and Research) is the Italian Partner of PRIMA programme.

The Observatory was created and is run by Santa Chiara Lab (the Interdisciplinary Innovation Center of the University of Siena) thanks to grant by the Italian "Fondo Integrativo Speciale per la Ricerca" (FISR).

2. The 2019 SDG Index and Dashboards

The SDG Index tracks country performance on the 17 SDGs as agreed by the international community in 2015. As such, all 17 goals are weighted equally in the Index. The score signifies a country's position between the worst (0) and the best or target (100) outcomes. Table 2 show the SDG Index and world rank for 23 Mediterranean countries, classified based on region and income group. As a comprehensive system, hosting 534 million people, the Mediterranean region has an average index score of 71.6, hypothetically corresponding to the 49th position of the world rank. This suggests that the Mediterranean region is on average almost 72% of the way to the best possible outcome across the 17 SDGs.

Among the 23 Mediterranean Countries, most of European countries perform well on the Index score. Israel and Algeria have the highest score in Middle East and North Africa (MENA). However, even those at the top of the list perform significantly below the maximum score of 100. Every country scores "red" on at least one SDG in the Dashboards (Figure 3). Montenegro in Europe, Egypt in Africa, Jordan and Lebanon in the Middle East need most significant improvements. Libya and Syria are at the bottom of the list with most data remaining unavailable.

Looking at trends, high-income countries (France, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Greece, Croatia, Slovenia, Cyprus, Malta and Israel) at the top of the ranking are making progress in most of SDGs related issues. Other countries look on-going as well. In general, stagnating or decreasing trends concern issues related to the protection of the biodiversity in particular in relation to SDG 14 (Life below Water) and SDG 15 (Life on Land) where most high-income countries are stagnating or moderately increasing. Nevertheless, except for countries that are facing armed conflicts and civil wars, most of the Mediterranean countries are making progress in providing access to basic services and infrastructures, particularly under SDG 1 (No Poverty), SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being), SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation), SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy), and partially in SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), as illustrated by the SDG trends' dashboards (Figure 4).

Table 2 | SDG Index

Country	Id	Region	Income Group (2019)	SDG INDEX score	Global rank
France	FRA	OECD	HIC	81.5	4
Slovenia	SVN	OECD	HIC	79.4	12
Spain	ESP	OECD	HIC	77.8	21
Croatia	HRV	E. Europe & C. Asia	HIC	77.8	22
Portugal	PRT	OECD	HIC	76.4	26
Malta	MLT	E. Europe & C. Asia	HIC	76.1	28
Italy	ITA	OECD	HIC	75.8	30
Israel	ISR	OECD	HIC	71.5	49
Greece	GRC	OECD	HIC	71.4	50
Algeria	DZA	MENA	UMIC	71.1	53
Albania	ALB	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	70.3	60
Cyprus	CYP	E. Europe & C. Asia	HIC	70.1	61
Tunisia	TUN	MENA	LMIC	70.0	63
Bosnia and Herzegovina	BIH	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	69.4	69
North Macedonia	MKD	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	69.4	70
Morocco	MAR	MENA	LMIC	69.1	72
Turkey	TUR	OECD	UMIC	68.5	79
Jordan	JOR	MENA	UMIC	68.1	81
Montenegro	MNE	E. Europe & C. Asia	UMIC	67.3	87
Egypt, Arab Rep.	EGY	MENA	LMIC	66.2	92
Lebanon	LBN	MENA	UMIC	65.7	94
Syrian Arab Republic	SYR	MENA	LIC	58.1	123
Libya	LBY	MENA	_	_	_
MED average				71.6	49

2.1 The SDG Dashboard

The SDG dashboard highlights the strengths and weaknesses of each country on the 17 SDGs. As described in the methodology section of the Sustainable Development Report 2019, it focuses on the two worst indicators under each goal (this is the reason why traffic lights do not exactly match with index values). The SDG Index includes the same basket of indicators for all countries except the OECD owing to more data available for these countries. Since the SDSN is promoting regional editions, the SDSN MED took the initiative to assemble the SDG Index and Dashboards for the Mediterranean region. Dashboards are composed by assembling the results per each of the 23 countries as in Figure 3 and Figure 4. Table 3 shows the index ranking for each SDG, including general information on population, GDP per capita and income groups that we believe is useful for interpreting results relative to any specific SDG issue. Table 4 presents the SDG indexes per country at a glance and weighted average values (based on population) for the Mediterranean Region, and Table 5 shows raw data per each of the indicators used to assess the 17 SDGs; it includes a total of 114 indicators with 85 global indicators and 29 indicators added specifically for OECD countries. Both these tables allows for investigating in detail the reasons behind numbers and plan consistent strategies for improving performances towards the SDGs.

Overall, the dashboard reveals that Mediterranean countries are not on track for achieving the SDGs. Compared to the rest of the world, Mediterranean countries perform better on goals related to socio-economic outcomes including SDG 1 (No Poverty – MED average: 96.4), SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being – MED average: 82.6) and SDG 4 (Quality Education - MED average: 88.6); this is driven by the poor performance of some countries on spillover indicators - and climate mitigation - including SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy - MED average: 91.7) and SDG 13 (Climate Action - MED average: 91.2) -. Nevertheless, while trends in SDG 1, SDG 3 and SDG 7 show to be on-track and generally increasing, SDG 4 and SDG 13 reveal a state of stagnation or decrease.

Alarming worst performances in the Mediterranean area concern environmental issues, particularly biodiversity protection - including SDG 14 (Life below Water - MED average: 46.9), even coupled with values of SDG 15 (Life on Land - MED average: 68.2) - and social integration - including SDG 5 (Gender Equality - MED average: 58.7), even coupled with SDG 10 (Reduced Inequality - MED average: 60.9); low values also concern European countries.

Critical issues also concern sustainable agriculture and diets, including SDG 2 (Zero Hunger - MED average: 56.6). This mostly depends on unsustainable practices and critical yield gaps in agriculture and on wrong attitudes of food consumption determining increasing rates of obesity and health diseases. Further reforms are therefore needed to increase the efficiency of agricultural and land-use systems and for new approaches to improve diets and sustainable food consumption.

Consistent efforts are also required in terms of investments for innovation, particularly concerning production value chains. In this regard, SDG 9 (Industry Innovation and Infrastructure - MED average: 47.5), coupled with SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production – MED average: 69.7) and SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities – MED average: 72.1), calls for more effective strategies to design and implement transformative policies to decouple economic growth from negative environmental impacts and to make the transition towards more circular and green economies. Nevertheless, trends on these SDGs are moderately increasing and look on track only in a few cases.

Access to infrastructure, primarily covered under SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation - MED average: 75.6) is generally good and improving at fast pace in some countries. However, constant monitoring and action are needed anyhow to prevent the effects of climate change in the Mediterranean area in terms of drought, desertification and flood due to higher frequencies of extreme weather events and global warming.

Further efforts are also needed to strengthen domestic labor rights and standards and tackle negative spillover effects under SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth - MED average: 71.1), to enhance freedom of speech and address high level of perceived corruption, besides conflicts and political instability, under SDG 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions – MED average: 72.2).

Transnational cooperation remains a crucial issue in the Mediterranean area that still looks insufficiently developed. The gap on SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals – MED average: 67.3) is not properly faced yet considering trends with frequent stagnation or decrease. We believe solutions addressed to enhance partnerships in the Mediterranean region can have high potentialities to improve performance of countries and achieve consistent results. Programs for improving joint initiatives and both domestic and international financial support is extremely desirable and certainly calls for further improvements.

Figure 3 | SDG Dashboard for Mediterranean countries



Figure 4 | SDG Trend Dashboard for Mediterranean countries

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Albania	^	→	7	^	7	1	^	→	7	• •	7	• •	1	7	7	7	• •
Algeria	1	→	71	→	71	71	71	→	1	• •	→	• •	→	→	→	→	• •
Bosnia and Herzegovina	1	7	7	• •	→	7	7	→	→	• •	7	• •	→	• •	→	→	• •
Croatia	1	7	1	→	71	1	7	↑	71	• •	7	• •	7	7	77	77	• •
Cyprus	1	→	1	• •	71	1	7	7	71	• •	7	• •	7	→	• •	7	Ψ
Egypt, Arab Rep.	1	7	71	→	71	71	1	7	77	• •	→	• •	↑	7	→	• •	• •
France	1	7	1	^	71	71	71	7	1	1	7	• •	→	7	7	7	→
Greece	71	7	71	7	71	71	^	7	1	→	71	• •	7	7	7	7	Ψ
Israel	7	7	^	7	→	^	7	1	1	→	→	• •	→	→	Ψ	7	7
Italy	7	7	^	7	71	^	^	7	71	→	7	• •	7	→	1	1	7
Jordan	→	→	7	• •	→	^	7	→	7	• •	→	• •	1	• •	• •	→	• •
Lebanon	^	→	7	Ψ	Ψ	^	• •	→	71	• •	• •	• •	1	• •	→	→	• •
Libya	• •	V	7	• •	→	71	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	V	→	• •	→	• •
Malta	^	7	7	→	71	1	7	1	71	• •	7	0 0	→	7		→	→
Morocco	^	7	7	7	71	71	7	• •	71	• •	→	• •	1	→	→	7	• •
Montenegro	^	→	7	7	71	1	7	7	71	• •	→		→	Ψ	V	→	• •
North Macedonia	7	7	7	• •	→	→	7	1	71	• •	7	• •	1	• •	7	7	• •
Portugal	7	7	^	→	71	71	^	1	71	→	^	0 0	Ψ	→	7	7	→
Slovenia	1	7	^	71	71	71	1	7	71	1	71	• •	7	• •	^	1	→
Spain	71	7	^	^	71	1	1	↑	77	→	71	• •	→	71	→	7	→
Syrian Arab Republic	• •	Ψ	→	• •	→	→	71	• •	→	• •	• •	• •	1	→	→	• •	• •
Tunisia	1	→	71	• •	→	1	71		71	• •	→	• •	↑	→	7	• •	• •
Turkey	71	→	71	^	→	• •	→	71	71	→	→	• •	Ψ	→	→	Ψ	• •

Table 3 | Country scores and trends by SDG

Med Rank	•	Goal 1	_		Med Rani		Goal 2			Med Rank	Country	Goal 3	_	_
1	Lebanon	99,9		↑	1	France	66,0		7	1	Israel	95,8		↑
2	Montenegro	99,9		↑	2	Bosnia and Herzegovina	65,0		71	2	Spain	95,4		1
3	Cyprus	99,9		↑	3	Croatia	64,6		71	3	Italy	95,1		1
4	Malta	99,7		↑	4	Slovenia	64,6		71	4	France	94,3		1
5	Bosnia and Herzegovina	99,7		↑	5	Italy	64,3		71	5	Cyprus	92,8		1
6	Slovenia	99,7		↑	6	North Macedonia	61,2		7	6	Malta	92,7		77
7	France	99,5		1	7	Greece	61,2		71	7	Slovenia	92,7		↑
8	Turkey	99,5		71	8	Israel	58,6		71	8	Portugal	92,1	•	1
9	Israel	99,2		71	9	Malta	58,2		71	9	Greece	90,2		77
10	Portugal	98,7	_	71	10	Spain	56,2		71	10	Croatia	87,1	•	1
11	Croatia	98,4	•	1	11	Portugal	56,0	•	71	11	Turkey	83,6	•	77
12	Spain	98,1	•	71	12	Egypt, Arab Rep.	56,0	•	71	12	Albania	82,2		77
13	Algeria	97,8	•	1	13	Turkey	55,8	•	→	13	Bosnia and Herzegovina	80,3		77
14	Tunisia	97,8		1	14	Morocco	53,8		→	14	Lebanon	80,1		77
15	Italy	97,3		71	15	Algeria	52,7		→	15	North Macedonia	80,0		77
16	Albania	96,9		^	16	Cyprus	52,5		→	16	Montenegro	79,9		77
17	Greece	96,7		77	17	Tunisia	52,5		→	17	Tunisia	77,5		77
18	Morocco	94,9		1	18	Montenegro	51,0		→	18	Jordan	76,3		77
19	Egypt, Arab Rep.	90,4		1	19	Albania	46,1		→	19	Algeria	75,5		77
20	North Macedonia	89,4		71	20	Lebanon	45,9		→	20	Morocco	73,7		77
21	Jordan	86,8		→	21	Jordan	45,4		→	21	Egypt, Arab Rep.	68,9		77
	Syrian Arab Republic	_		• •	22	Syrian Arab Republic	28,2		•	22	Syrian Arab Republic	63,9		→
_	Libya	_			_	Libya			•	_	Libya	·		71
_		_			_	.,.	_	_		-	.,.	_	_	
Med Rank	c Country	Goal 4			Med Rani	Country	Goal 5			Med Rank	Country	Goal 6		
- Ivieu Kalii	Country	GOal 4			- Ivieu Kaiii	Country	Guai 5			ivieu Kalik	Country	Gual 6		
1	Bosnia and Herzegovina	99,4		• •	1	France	86,5	•	71	1	Greece	90,6		77
2	Italy	97,6		77	2	Spain	82,7	•	77	2	Spain	88,1		1
3	Malta	97,5	•	→	3	Portugal	80,7	•	77	3	France	87,9	•	77
4	France	97,4		1	4	Slovenia	75,3		71	4	Portugal	87,0		77
5	Cyprus	97,0		• •	5	Israel	75,2		→	5	Malta	86,4		1
6	Israel	96,8		71	6	Cyprus	71,3		71	6	Italy	84,8		1
7	Slovenia	96,6		77	7	Italy	71,2		71	7	Croatia	82,5		1
8	Montenegro	96,3		71	8	Croatia	63,7		71	8	Slovenia	82,4		77
9	Portugal	95,5		→	9	Greece	62,6		71	9	Turkey	82,1		
10	Spain	95,4		1	10	Malta	59,1		71	10	Lebanon	79,4		1
11	Turkey	93,7		1	11	Montenegro	54,4		71	11	Albania	77,2		1
12	Albania	93,4		1	12	North Macedonia	54,4		→	12	North Macedonia	75,2		→
13	Greece	90,1		71	13	Albania	53,3		71	13	Montenegro	74,4		1
14	North Macedonia	88,3	Ŏ		14	Tunisia	52,7	Ŏ	→	14	Israel	74,3		<u>.</u>
15	Croatia	87,4		→	15	Algeria	51,1	Ŏ	71	15	Bosnia and Herzegovina	72,6	Ŏ	7
16	Algeria	85,9	Ŏ	→	16	Egypt, Arab Rep.	46,1	Ŏ	71	16	Cyprus	70,1	Ŏ	1
17	Tunisia	84,8	ě		17	Turkey	45,3	Ŏ	→	17	Morocco	66,1	Ŏ	7
18	Egypt, Arab Rep.	82,9	ě	→	18	Morocco	42,9	Ŏ	71	18	Algeria	63,6	Ŏ	7
19	Morocco	78,0	ă	71	19	Jordan	42,7	ĕ	→	19	Syrian Arab Republic	63,2	ă	→
20	Jordan	78,0		• •	20	Lebanon	42,5		Ú	20	Egypt, Arab Rep.	62,3		71
21	Lebanon	70,3		•	21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	39,9	-	÷	21	Tunisia	61,4		1
22	Syrian Arab Republic	48,8		• •	22	Syrian Arab Republic	34,3		→	22	Jordan	54,8		→
22		48,8			22	,	34,3			22		54,8		T
-	Libya	-			-	Libya	-		7	-	Libya	-		-
Med Rank	c Country	Goal 7			Med Ranl	Country	Goal 8			Med Rank	Country	Goal 9		
1	France	97,0		71	1	Malta	87,4		1	1	Israel	77,5		1
2	Spain	94,7		^	2	Israel	85,0	ă	<u>,</u>	2	France	73,6	ĕ	.
3	Portugal				3	Slovenia	84,7		7	3	Spain	68,1		7
4	Israel	94,6		↑		Portugal				4	Italy			77
		94,0			4	=	82,3		↑		•	63,8		7
5	Slovenia	93,6		↑	5	Italy	78,7			5	Slovenia	61,0		7
6	Italy	93,1		↑	6	France	78,1		71	6	Portugal	56,1		
7	Egypt, Arab Rep.	92,8		^	7	Croatia	78,1		↑	7	Cyprus	54,3		77
8	Malta	92,4		7	8	Spain	75,2		<u>↑</u>	8	Greece	49,9		1
9	Cyprus	92,2		7	9	Cyprus	74,5		71	9	Croatia	48,6		77
10	Jordan	92,2		7	10	Turkey	73,8		71	10	Turkey	46,5		77
11	Tunisia	91,8		7	11	Algeria	69,7	•	→	11	Malta	43,3		77
12	Syrian Arab Republic	91,0		71	12	Morocco	67,4		• •	12	Lebanon	42,3	•	71
13	Greece	90,7	•	↑	13	Lebanon	67,4		→	13	Jordan	41,7	•	7
14	Croatia	89,5		71	14	Montenegro	67,0		71	14	Montenegro	32,9	•	77
14	Total care	89,2		→	15	North Macedonia	64,2		1	15	Morocco	32,4		7
15	Turkey				16	Tunisia	63,6			16	Egypt, Arab Rep.	32,1		77
	Lebanon	88,9												_
15	•	88,9 88,6		↑	17	Egypt, Arab Rep.	63,6		77	17	Tunisia	31,2		77
15 16	Lebanon						63,6 63,0		71	17 18	Tunisia North Macedonia	31,2 31,0		71
15 16 17	Lebanon Albania	88,6		1	17	Egypt, Arab Rep.					North Macedonia			71
15 16 17 18	Lebanon Albania Morocco	88,6 87,7		↑	17 18	Egypt, Arab Rep. Greece	63,0		71	18		31,0		
15 16 17 18 19	Lebanon Albania Morocco Algeria	88,6 87,7 85,9		↑ 7	17 18 19	Egypt, Arab Rep. Greece Jordan	63,0 62,5 62,2		∄	18 19	North Macedonia Algeria	31,0 29,8 28,0		₹
15 16 17 18 19 20	Lebanon Albania Morocco Algeria Montenegro	88,6 87,7 85,9 85,0		↑ 7 7	17 18 19 20	Egypt, Arab Rep. Greece Jordan Albania	63,0 62,5		₹₹₹	18 19 20	North Macedonia Algeria Albania	31,0 29,8		7 7

Table 3 | Country scores and trends by SDG

Med Rank	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Goal 10	_		Med Rank		Goal 11	_		Med Rank	Country	Goal 12	_	• •
1	Slovenia	100,0		↑	1	Spain	89,1		7	1	Bosnia and Herzegovina	89,0		
2	Malta	94,6		• •	2	France	87,0		7	2	Tunisia	86,7		••
3	Algeria	88,7		• •	3	Slovenia	85,9		77	3	Algeria	86,5		••
4	France	85,6		1	4	Portugal	84,4		<u>↑</u>	4	Jordan	85,8		••
5	Bosnia and Herzegovina	82,2		• •	5	Malta	83,1		7	5	Syrian Arab Republic	85,4		• •
6	Cyprus	77,4			6	Greece	82,1		71	6	Albania	83,2		• •
7	Syrian Arab Republic	76,6		• •	7	Israel	80,1		→	7	Egypt, Arab Rep.	82,9		**
8	Italy	69,9		→	8	Albania	78,6	•	77	8	Morocco	82,5		
9	Croatia	69,8		• •	9	Cyprus	77,7		71	9	North Macedonia	81,2		• •
10	Lebanon	69,5		• •	10	Croatia	76,2		71	10	Lebanon	75,6		
11	Spain	69,2		→	11	Jordan	75,2		→	11	Turkey	73,8		
12	Montenegro	62,9			12	Italy	74,0		71	12	Croatia	73,5		
13	Morocco	61,5			13	North Macedonia	72,9		77	13	Slovenia	60,8		
14	Tunisia	61,2			14	Morocco	72,2		→	14	Montenegro	60,6		
15	Albania	59,8			15	Bosnia and Herzegovina	71,8		71	15	Portugal	54,8		
16	Portugal	57,3	Ŏ	→	16	Turkey	70,4	Ŏ	→	16	Spain	53,4	ě	
17	Jordan	55,9	Ŏ		17	Montenegro	68,2	Ŏ	→	17	France	53,4		
18	Greece	50,9		→	18	Algeria	66,6		→	18	Italy	51,7		
	Israel			÷		Tunisia	62,5		÷		Malta			
19		50,2			19				••	19		48,4		
20	North Macedonia	48,8			20	Lebanon	59,9			20	Cyprus	41,7		
21	Turkey	41,2		→	21	Egypt, Arab Rep.	59,7		→	21	Israel	41,5		
22	Egypt, Arab Rep.	37,4		• •	22	Syrian Arab Republic	47,7			22	Greece	39,4		• •
-	Libya	-		• •	-	Libya	-		• •	-	Libya	-		• •
Med Rank	Country	Goal 13			Med Rank	-	Goal 14	_		Med Rank	Country	Goal 15		
1	Egypt, Arab Rep.	97,8		1	1	Croatia	74,8		77	1	Jordan	90,2		
2	Syrian Arab Republic	96,7	•	^	2	France	64,2		77	2	Italy	82,9		
3	Jordan	94,8		^	3	Tunisia	59,4		→	3	Slovenia	82,5		
4	Algeria	94,3		→	4	Spain	59,4		71	4	Albania	80,0		
5	Croatia	93,6		71	5	Greece	59,4		71	5	Croatia	79,2		
6	Spain	93,3		→	6	Egypt, Arab Rep.	56,6		71	6	Greece	78,7		
7	Morocco	92,4	Ŏ	1	7	Portugal	51,8		→	7	Cyprus	77,5		
8	Albania	92,1	Ŏ	.	8	Malta	50,2	Ŏ	71	8	France	76,7		
9	Portugal	91,5		Ţ.	9	Morocco	48,2		→	9	Morocco	75,6	ĕ	
10	Slovenia	91,2		77	10	Algeria	41,9)	10	North Macedonia	74,0		
	Israel	91,2		→	11	Italy	41,1		,	11	Portugal	73,4		
11				→					71					
12	Malta	91,1			12	Albania	41,0		• •	12	Malta	70,6		
13	Tunisia	90,7		1	13	Lebanon	36,6			13	Egypt, Arab Rep.	68,4		
14	Turkey	89,9			14	Slovenia	33,3		• •	14	Tunisia	65,6		
15	France	86,4	•	→	15	Cyprus	32,8		→	15	Spain	65,4	•	
16	North Macedonia	85,8	•	↑	16	Syrian Arab Republic	30,0		-	16	Algeria	63,2	•	
17	Italy	84,7	•	7	17	Montenegro	28,4		Ψ.	17	Bosnia and Herzegovina	61,9	•	
18	Greece	82,2		77	18	Jordan	27,9		0.0	18	Lebanon	56,6		
19	Montenegro	79,4		→	19	Turkey	27,4		→	19	Turkey	53,3		
20	Lebanon	77,4		^	20	Israel	17,4		→	20	Israel	50,6		
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	72,3		→	21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	8,7		0.0	21	Syrian Arab Republic	47,6		
22	Cyprus	72,2		71	22	North Macedonia	0,0			22	Montenegro	32,2		
	Libya	,	Ŏ	•		Libya	-,-		→		Libya	- *	ě	
-	20,0	-		•	_	,	-			-	2.070	_		
Med Rank	Country	Goal 16			Med Rank	Country	Goal 17			Med Rank	Country	SDG index		
1	Slovenia	88,1		<u> </u>	1	Montenegro	100,0		• •	1	France	81,5		
	Portugal			7	2	Bosnia and Herzegovina	96,9	_		2	Slovenia			
2		84,1		• •								79,4		
3	Cyprus	81,2			3	Croatia	84,7			3	Spain	77,8		
4	Spain	80,6		→	4	Algeria	83,0	_	0.0	4	Croatia	77,8		
5	Malta	76,8		• •	5	Tunisia	80,2		0.0	5	Portugal	76,4		
6	France	76,6		77	6	North Macedonia	77,4	•	0.0	6	Malta	76,1		
7	Italy	75,2		^	7	Morocco	75,9		• •	7	Italy	75,8		
8	Jordan	74,5		• •	8	France	75,1		→	8	Israel	71,5		
9	North Macedonia	74,1		71	9	Jordan	73,0		• •	9	Greece	71,4		
10	Israel	73,6		•	10	Turkey	70,8			10	Algeria	71,1		
11	Greece	72,8		7	11	Albania	64,8		• •	11	Albania	70,3		
12	Bosnia and Herzegovina	72,6		→	12	Italy	63,1	ĕ	21	12	Cyprus	70,1		
13	Algeria	72,4		→	13	Syrian Arab Republic	62,4		••	13	Tunisia	70,1		
				√					→					
14	Montenegro	70,7			14	Malta	62,2			14	Bosnia and Herzegovina	69,4		
	Croatia	70,6		7	15	Spain	59,1		→	15	North Macedonia	69,4		
15					16	Portugal	58,7		→	16	Morocco	69,1		
16	Tunisia	70,3	7	7										
		70,2		→	17	Lebanon	57,9	•	• •	17	Turkey	68,5		
16	Tunisia			→			57,9 57,6		→	17 18	Turkey Jordan	68,5 68,1		
16 17	Tunisia Egypt, Arab Rep.	70,2		→	17	Lebanon								
16 17 18	Tunisia Egypt, Arab Rep. Morocco	70,2 69,0		→	17 18	Lebanon Slovenia	57,6		→	18	Jordan	68,1		
16 17 18 19	Tunisia Egypt, Arab Rep. Morocco Turkey	70,2 69,0 68,1		→ → →	17 18 19	Lebanon Slovenia Egypt, Arab Rep.	57,6 57,5		→	18 19	Jordan Montenegro	68,1 67,3		
16 17 18 19 20 21	Tunisia Egypt, Arab Rep. Morocco Turkey Albania Lebanon	70,2 69,0 68,1 67,3 65,9		→→→✓	17 18 19 20 21	Lebanon Slovenia Egypt, Arab Rep. Israel Greece	57,6 57,5 54,9 53,6		→ ··	18 19 20 21	Jordan Montenegro Egypt, Arab Rep. Lebanon	68,1 67,3 66,2 65,7		
16 17 18 19 20	Tunisia Egypt, Arab Rep. Morocco Turkey Albania	70,2 69,0 68,1 67,3		→→⊅⊅	17 18 19 20	Lebanon Slovenia Egypt, Arab Rep. Israel	57,6 57,5 54,9		→ 	18 19 20	Jordan Montenegro Egypt, Arab Rep.	68,1 67,3 66,2		

Table 3 | Country scores and trends by SDG

Med Rank	Country	Population	Med Rank	Country	GDP/cap	Med Rank	Country	Income group
1	Egypt, Arab Rep.	101.168.745	1	France	38.606	Су	prus	HIC
2	Turkey	82.961.805	2	Malta	36.505	Cro	oatia	HIC
3	France	65.480.710	3	Italy	35.220	Fra	ance	HIC
4	Italy	59.216.525	4	Spain	34.272	Gr	eece	HIC
5	Spain	46.441.049	5	Israel	33.132	Isr	ael	HIC
6	Algeria	42.679.018	6	Cyprus	32.415	Ita	ly	HIC
7	Morocco	36.635.156	7	Slovenia	31.401	Ma	alta	HIC
8	Syrian Arab Republic	18.499.181	8	Portugal	27.937	Po	rtugal	HIC
9	Tunisia	11.783.168	9	Turkey	25.135	Slo	ovenia	HIC
10	Greece	11.124.603	10	Greece	24.574	Spa	ain	HIC
11	Portugal	10.254.666	11	Croatia	22.828	Alt	oania	UMIC
12	Jordan	10.069.794	12	Libya	17.882	Alg	geria	UMIC
13	Israel	8.583.916	13	Montenegro	16.465	Во	snia and Herzegovina	UMIC
14	Libya	6.569.864	14	Algeria	13.900	Jor	dan	UMIC
15	Lebanon	6.065.922	15	Lebanon	13.191	Let	oanon	UMIC
16	Croatia	4.140.148	16	North Macedonia	13.132	No	rth Macedonia	UMIC
17	Bosnia and Herzegovina	3.501.774	17	Albania	11.802	Mo	ontenegro	UMIC
18	Albania	2.938.428	18	Bosnia and Herzegovina	11.731	Tu	rkey	UMIC
19	North Macedonia	2.086.720	19	Tunisia	10.849	Egy	ypt, Arab Rep.	LMIC
20	Slovenia	2.081.900	20	Egypt, Arab Rep.	10.551	Mo	orocco	LMIC
21	Cyprus	1.198.427	21	Jordan	8.337	Tu	nisia	LMIC
22	Montenegro	629.355	22	Morocco	7.485	Syı	rian Arab Republic	LIC
23	Malta	433.245	23	Syrian Arab Republic	0	Lib	oya	

Table 4 | Country scores by SDG. Mediterranean average values are weighted by population.

Country	ld	SDG INDEX score	SDG1 score	SDG2 score	SDG3 score	SDG4 score	SDG5 score	SDG6 score	SDG7 score	SDG8 score	SDG9 score	SDG10 score	SDG11 score	SDG12 score	SDG13 score	SDG14 score	SDG15 score	SDG16 score	SDG17 score	Missing values (%)
Albania	ALB	70.3	96.9	46.1	82.2	93.4	53.3	77.2	88.6	62.2	28.0	59.8	78.6	83.2	92.1	41.0	80.0	67.3	64.8	1.2
Algeria	DZA	71.1	97.8	52.7	75.5	85.9	51.1	63.6	85.9	69.7	29.8	88.7	66.6	86.5	94.3	41.9	63.2	72.4	83.0	0.0
Bosnia and Herzegovina	BIH	69.4	99.7	65.0	80.3	99.4	39.9	72.6	80.3	62.2	24.9	82.2	71.8	89.0	72.3	8.7	61.9	72.6	96.9	9.5
Croatia	HRV	77.8	98.4	64.6	87.1	87.4	63.7	82.5	89.5	78.1	48.6	69.8	76.2	73.5	93.6	74.8	79.2	70.6	84.7	3.6
Cyprus	CYP	70.1	99.9	52.5	92.8	97.0	71.3	70.1	92.2	74.5	54.3	77.4	77.7	41.7	72.2	32.8	77.5	81.2	27.2	6.0
Egypt, Arab Rep.	EGY	66.2	90.4	56.0	68.9	82.9	46.1	62.3	92.8	63.6	32.1	37.4	59.7	82.9	97.8	56.6	68.4	70.2	57.5	3.6
France	FRA	81.5	99.5	66.0	94.3	97.4	86.5	87.9	97.0	78.1	73.6	85.6	87.0	53.4	86.4	64.2	76.7	76.6	75.1	1.2
Greece	GRC	71.4	96.7	61.2	90.2	90.1	62.6	90.6	90.7	63.0	49.9	50.9	82.1	39.4	82.2	59.4	78.7	72.8	53.6	1.2
Israel	ISR	71.5	99.2	58.6	95.8	96.8	75.2	74.3	94.0	85.0	77.5	50.2	80.1	41.5	91.2	17.4	50.6	73.6	54.9	3.6
Italy	ITA	75.8	97.3	64.3	95.1	97.6	71.2	84.8	93.1	78.7	63.8	69.9	74.0	51.7	84.7	41.1	82.9	75.2	63.1	0.0
Jordan	JOR	68.1	86.8	45.4	76.3	78.0	42.7	54.8	92.2	62.5	41.7	55.9	75.2	85.8	94.8	27.9	90.2	74.5	73.0	9.5
Lebanon	LBN	65.7	99.9	45.9	80.1	70.3	42.5	79.4	88.9	67.4	42.3	69.5	59.9	75.6	77.4	36.6	56.6	65.9	57.9	7.1
Libya	LBY	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Malta	MLT	76.1	99.7	58.2	92.7	97.5	59.1	86.4	92.4	87.4	43.3	94.6	83.1	48.4	91.1	50.2	70.6	76.8	62.2	6.0
Morocco	MAR	69.1	94.9	53.8	73.7	78.0	42.9	66.1	87.7	67.4	32.4	61.5	72.2	82.5	92.4	48.2	75.6	69.0	75.9	2.4
Montenegro	MNE	67.3	99.9	51.0	79.9	96.3	54.4	74.4	85.0	67.0	32.9	62.9	68.2	60.6	79.4	28.4	32.2	70.7	100.0	8.3
North Macedonia	MKD	69.4	89.4	61.2	80.0	88.3	54.4	75.2	80.9	64.2	31.0	48.8	72.9	81.2	85.8	0.0	74.0	74.1	77.4	6.3
Portugal	PRT	76.4	98.7	56.0	92.1	95.5	80.7	87.0	94.6	82.3	56.1	57.3	84.4	54.8	91.5	51.8	73.4	84.1	58.7	1.2
Syrian Arab Republic	SYR	58.1	0.0	28.2	63.9	48.8	34.3	63.2	91.0	52.8	12.4	76.6	47.7	85.4	96.7	30.0	47.6	53.5	62.4	15.5
Slovenia	SVN	79.4	99.7	64.6	92.7	96.6	75.3	82.4	93.6	84.7	61.0	100.0	85.9	60.8	91.2	33.3	82.5	88.1	57.6	1.2
Spain	ESP	77.8	98.1	56.2	95.4	95.4	82.7	88.1	94.7	75.2	68.1	69.2	89.1	53.4	93.3	59.4	65.4	80.6	59.1	1.2
Tunisia	TUN	70.0	97.8	52.5	77.5	84.8	52.7	61.4	91.8	63.6	31.2	61.2	62.5	86.7	90.7	59.4	65.6	70.3	80.2	0.0
Turkey	TUR	68.5	99.5	55.8	83.6	93.7	45.3	82.1	89.2	73.8	46.5	41.2	70.4	73.8	89.9	27.4	53.3	68.1	70.8	1.2
MED average		71.6	96.4	56.6	82.6	88.6	58.7	75.6	91.7	71.1	47.5	60.9	72.1	69.7	91.2	46.9	68.2	72.2	67.3	4.1

Table 5 | Indicators to calculate SDG Indexes

SDG	Indicator	Albania	Algeria	Bos Herz	Croatia	Cyprus	Egypt	France	Greece	Israel	Italy	Jordan	Lebanon	Libva	Malta	Morocco	Montenegro	Nor Maced	Portugal	Svria	Slovenia	Spain	Tunisia	Turkev	MED average
1	Poverty headcount ratio at	0,3	0,3	0,1	0,6	0,1	0,5	0,2	1,4	0,2	1,4	0,7	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,2	0,0	3.4	0,6	0,0	0,2	0,9	0,2	0,0	0,5
1	\$1.90/day (% population)	0,5	0,3	0,1	0,0	0,1	0,3	0,2	1,4	0,2	1,4	0,7	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,2	0,0	3,4	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,5	0,2	0,0	0,5
1	Poverty headcount ratio at \$3.20/day (% population)	3,1	2,0	0,2	1,2	0,1	9,5	0,3	2,4	0,6	1,8	13,1	0,1	0,0	0,2	5,1	0,1	8,6	0,9	0,0	0,2	1,3	2,2	0,5	2,3
1	OECD only: Poverty rate after taxes and transfers, Poverty line 50% (%	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	8,3	14,4	17,7	13,7	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	12,5	0,0	8,7	15,5	0,0	17,2	4,7
2	populatio Prevalence of undernourishment (%	5,5	4,7	2,5	2,5	4,6	4,8	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	13,5	10,9	0,0	2,5	3,9	2,5	4,1	2,5	0,0	2,5	2,5	4,9	2,5	3,8
2	population) Prevalence of stunting (low height-	5,5	4,7	2,3	2,3	4,0	4,0	2,5	2,3	2,3	2,3	13,3	10,5	0,0	2,3	3,3	2,3	4,1	2,3	0,0	2,3	2,3	4,5	2,5	3,0
2	for-age) in children under 5 years of age (%)	23,1	11,7	8,9	2,6	2,6	22,3	2,6	2,6	2,6	2,6	7,8	16,5	21,0	2,6	14,9	9,4	4,9	2,6	27,5	2,6	2,6	10,1	9,5	9,3
2	Prevalence of wasting in children under 5 years of age (%)	9,4	4,1	2,3	0,7	0,7	9,5	0,7	0,7	0,7	0,7	2,4	6,6	6,5	0,7	2,3	2,8	1,8	0,7	11,5	0,7	0,7	2,8	1,7	3,1
2	Prevalence of obesity, BMI ≥ 30 (% adult population)	21,7	27,4	17,9	24,4	21,8	32,0	21,6	24,9	26,1	19,9	35,5	32,0	32,5	28,9	26,1	23,3	22,4	20,8	27,8	20,2	23,8	26,9	32,1	25,7
2	Cereal yield (t/ha)	4,7	1,6	5,2	6,7	2,2	7,1	5,7	4,1	5,0	5,6	1,5	3,0	0,7	4,7	0,9	3,3	3,9	4,4	1,6	6,5	3,4	1,5	3,1	3,8
2	Sustainable Nitrogen Management Index	1,0	0,8	0,9	0,7	1,1	0,7	0,4	0,7	0,9	0,7	1,1	0,9	0,0	0,9	0,9	1,1	0,8	1,1	0,9	0,8	0,9	1,0	0,8	0,8
2	OECD only: Yield gap closure (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	77,3	51,7	0,0	58,9	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	28,5	0,0	57,6	45,7	0,0	0,0	13,9
2	Human Trophic Level (best 2 - 3 worst)	2,4	2,2	2,2	2,4	2,4	2,2	2,5	2,4	2,4	2,4	2,2	2,2	0,0	2,4	2,2	2,4	2,3	2,4	0,0	2,4	2,4	2,2	2,3	2,1
3	Maternal mortality rate (per 100,000 live births)	29,0	140,0	11,0	8,0	7,0	33,0	8,0	3,0	5,0	4,0	58,0	15,0	9,0	9,0	121,0	7,0	8,0	10,0	68,0	9,0	5,0	62,0	16,0	28,0
3	Neonatal mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)	6,1	14,9	4,0	2,9	1,6	11,6	2,4	3,0	2,0	2,0	10,1	4,5	6,5	4,4	14,4	2,3	10,5	2,1	8,7	1,2	1,7	7,5	5,9	5,7
3	Mortality rate, under-5 (per 1,000 live births)	8,8	24,0	5,7	4,6	2,7	22,1	4,2	5,3	3,6	3,4	17,0	7,8	12,4	6,4	23,3	3,5	13,7	3,7	17,0	2,1	3,1	13,0	11,6	9,5
3	Incidence of tuberculosis (per 100,000 population)	20,0	70,0	27,0	10,0	5,6	13,0	8,0	4,1	3,2	6,9	6,8	12,0	40,0	11,0	99,0	14,0	13,0	20,0	19,0	5,7	10,0	34,0	17,0	20,4
3	New HIV infections (per 1,000)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,0
3	Age-standardised death rate due to cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, and	17,0	14,2	17,8	16,7	11,3	27,7	10,6	12,4	9,6	9,5	19,2	17,9	20,1	10,8	12,4	20,6	20,3	11,1	21,8	12,7	9,9	16,1	16,1	15,5
3	Age-standardised death rate attributable to household air	68,0	50,0	80,0	35,0	20,0	109,0	10,0	28,0	15,0	15,0	51,0	51,0	72,0	20,0	49,0	79,0	82,0	10,0	75,0	23,0	10,0	56,0	47,0	45,9
3	pollution and ambient Traffic deaths rate (per 100,000	13,6	23,7	16,6	9,2	6,5	13,3	5,1	8,1	3,2	5,6	23,6	19,3	25,3	5,5	18,6	10,3	8,1	7,7	19,7	6,5	3,6	23,0	8,8	12,4
3	population) Life Expectancy at birth (years)	76,4	76,4	77,3	78,3	80,7	70,5	82,9	81,2	82,3	82,8	74,3	76,3	71,9	81,5	76,0	76,8	75,9	81,5	63,8	80,9	83,1	76,0	76,4	77,5
3	Adolescent fertility rate (births per 1,000 women ages 15-19)	20,7	10,4	10,4	9,4	4,7	51,0	8,8	7,5	9,7	6,2	23,3	12,2	5,7	16,8	31,7	12,1	16,6	9,9	39,5	4,3	8,7	7,6	26,9	15,4
3	Births attended by skilled health personnel (%)	99,3	96,6	99,9	99,9	97,4	91,5	97,4	0,0	0,0	99,9	99,6	98,2	99,9	99,8	73,6	99,0	99,9	98,9	96,2	99,8	0,0	73,6	97,4	83,4
3	Percentage of surviving infants who received 2 WHO-recommended	96,0	88,0	69,0	89,0	90,0	94,0	90,0	97,0	98,0	92,0	93,0	79,0	94,0	91,0	99,0	58,0	83,0	98,0	48,0	93,0	96,0	98,0	96,0	88,2
3	vaccines (%) Universal Health Coverage Tracer Index (0-100)	80,3	72,3	76,6	87,2	93,6	65,2	93,6	90,9	89,6	94,7	77,3	81,2	70,6	89,6	61,1	80,7	77,7	90,2	69,9	90,7	93,6	79,4	77,8	81,9
3	Subjective Wellbeing (average ladder score, 0-10)	5,0	5,0	5,9	5,5	6,3	4,0	6,7	5,4	6,9	6,5	4,6	5,2	5,5	6,9	4,9	5,7	5,2	5,7	3,5	6,2	6,5	4,7	5,2	5,5
3	OECD only: Gap in life expectancy at birth among regions (years)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	3,7	2,4	2,6	2,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,1	0,0	2,4	3,0	0,0	2,9	1,0
3	OECD only: Gap in self-reported health by income (0-100)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	9,6	6,5	5,8	7,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	22,6	0,0	25,7	12,3	0,0	12,1	4,4

Table 5 | Indicators to calculate SDG Indexes

SDG	Indicator	Albania	Algeria	Bos Herz	Croatia	Cyprus	Egypt	France	Greece	Israel	Italy	lordan	Lebanon	Libva	Malta	Morocco	Montenegro	Macedonia	Portugal	Svria	Slovenia	Spain	Tunisia	Turkev	MED average
	OECD only: Daily smokers (%																								
4	population age 15+)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	22,4	27,3	17,2	20,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	16,8	0,0	18,9	23,0	0,0	26,5	7,5
4	Net primary enrolment rate (%)	96,5	97,5	0,0	87,5	97,4	97,0	98,6	92,9	97,0	96,9	92,4	86,3	0,0	97,6	96,8	95,8	91,7	96,3	63,2	97,7	98,5	98,6	94,3	85,7
4	Lower secondary completion rate	91,1	79,1	0,0	91,6	97,6	81,0	98,3	90,1	101,4	99,8	60,8	52,4	0,0	99,8	64,8	99,4	88,1	0,0	50,5	96,1	91,9	70,8	95,1	73,9
4	Literacy rate of 15-24 year olds, both sexes (%)	99,2	93,8	99,7	99,7	99,8	88,2	0,0	98,8	0,0	99,9	99,1	99,2	99,6	98,9	91,2	99,2	98,6	99,4	92,5	99,8	99,6	96,2	99,6	89,2
	OECD only: Enrollment in early																								
4	childhood learning program (% ages 4-6)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	88,5	96,8	97,7	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	99,5	0,0	94,0	96,0	0,0	65,5	32,1
4	OECD only: Population age 25-34 with tertiary education (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	44,3	42,5	48,0	26,8	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	34,0	0,0	44,6	42,6	0,0	31,6	13,7
4	OECD only: PISA score (0-600)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	495,7	458,7	472,0	485,3	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	497,0	0,0	509,3	491,7	0,0	424,3	166,7
	OECD only: Percentage of variation																								
4	in science performance explained by students'	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	20,3	12,5	11,2	9,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	14,9	0,0	13,5	13,4	0,0	9,0	4,5
4	OECD only: Students performing below level 2 in science (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	22,1	32,7	31,4	23,2	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	17,4	0,0	15,0	18,3	0,0	44,5	8,9
5	OECD only: Resilient students (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	26,6	18,1	15,7	26,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	38,1	0,0	34,6	39,2	0,0	21,8	9,6
	Demand for family planning satisfied by modern methods (%	12,9	77,2	21,9	61,5	0,0	80,0	95,5	62,0	71,1	68,2	58,0	63,8	29,6	74,8	74,8	34,1	22,3	79,1	53,3	78,6	84,5	73,2	59,7	58,1
	women married or in un																								
5	Ratio of female to male mean years of schooling of population age 25	96,1	88.4	78.9	95.7	98.4	82.3	95,8	95.5	100,0	96.2	95,3	95,5	110,0	94.8	69,2	89,2	89.9	100,0	82,1	99,2	97,0	79,7	80.7	91,7
,	and above Ratio of female to male labour force	,-				,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-			,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	2-7-
5	participation rate	72,7	22,8	59,9	79,1	86,2	30,2	84,4	75,2	86,0	68,0	22,1	32,7	32,6	63,7	33,7	76,7	63,1	83,8	16,7	85,1	82,1	34,3	44,9	58,1
5	Seats held by women in national parliaments (%)	27,9	25,8	21,4	18,5	17,9	14,9	39,6	18,7	27,5	35,7	15,4	4,7	16,0	11,9	20,5	23,5	38,3	34,8	13,2	24,4	39,1	31,3	17,4	23,4
5	OECD only: Gender wage gap (Total, % male median wage)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	9,9	4,5	21,6	5,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	14,3	0,0	5,0	11,5	0,0	6,9	3,4
	OECD only: Gender gap in minutes																								
5	spent per day doing unpaid work (minutes)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	89,1	157,0	0,0	175,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	231,9	0,0	119,7	143,2	0,0	223,5	49,6
6	Population using at least basic drinking water services (%)	91,4	93,5	97,7	99,6	100,0	98,4	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	98,6	92,3	96,8	100,0	83,0	97,6	96,8	99,9	96,7	99,5	99,9	94,2	98,9	97,2
	Population using at least basic																								
6	sanitation services (%) Freshwater withdrawal as % total	97,7	87,5	94,8	97,5	99,4	93,2	98,7	99,0	100,0	99,3	96,7	95,4	99,7	100,0	83,5	95,9	90,9	99,4	92,9	99,1	99,9	93,1	96,4	96,1
6	renewable water resources	6,5	88,0	1,4	1,0	37,6	159,9	22,8	19,7	110,5	44,8	150,9	33,3	1072,0	44,4	49,0	0,0	13,2	17,1	109,4	6,1	49,7	94,0	27,5	93,9
	Imported groundwater depletion																								
6	(m3/year/capita)	6,0	7,5	0,0	5,6	14,9	2,8	5,9	8,0	10,2	7,8	16,6	17,3	9,7	13,4	3,0	5,3	4,0	6,7	8,1	9,1	6,2	7,0	6,5	7,9
	Anthropogenic wastewater that																								
6	receives treatment (%)	16,9	46,1	0,0	29,0	14,9	28,4	66,4	81,1	95,4	79,6	18,6	0,0	9,6	100,0	26,0	18,5	1,2	56,6	48,0	34,7	97,4	33,6	48,8	41,3
	OECD only: Population using safely																								
6	managed water services (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	93,3	98,9	99,4	93,7	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	95,1	0,0	98,0	98,2	0,0	0,0	29,4
	OECD only: Population using safely																								
6	managed sanitation services (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	92,1	75,2	93,3	95,4	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	61,7	0,0	75,7	97,5	0,0	44,3	27,6

Table 5 | Indicators to calculate SDG Indexes

SDG.	Indicator	Albania	Algoria	Por Hora	Croatia	Cuprus	Emint	Franco	Granca	Icrael	Italy	lordan	Lohanon	Libun	Malta	Morocco	Montonogro	Macadonia	Portugal	Surin	Slovenia	Conin	Tunicia	Turkey	MED average
	Access to electricity (% population)	100.0	99,4	100.0	100,0	100.0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	98,5	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100.0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	99,9
	Access to clean fuels & technology	100,0	33,4	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	200,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	30,3	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	33,3
7	for cooking (% population)	77,4	92,6	63,4	92,7	100,0	97,6	100,0	94,3	100,0	100,0	99,1	0,0	0,0	100,0	96,8	69,4	65,6	100,0	99,0	96,2	100,0	99,1	0,0	80,1
,	CO2 emissions from fuel	77,4	32,0	03,4	32,1	100,0	37,0	100,0	54,5	100,0	100,0	33,1	0,0	0,0	100,0	50,0	05,4	05,0	100,0	33,0	30,2	100,0	33,1	0,0	30,1
	combustion / electricity output																								
7	(MtCO2/TWh)	0,7	2,0	1,3	1,4	1,4	1,1	0,5	1,3	1,1	1,2	1,3	1,3	1,2	1,3	2,0	0,8	1,3	1,0	1,5	0,9	0,9	1,4	1,3	1,2
	OECD only: Share of renewable	0,7	2,0	1,5	1,4	1,44	1,1	0,3	1,3	1,1	1,2	1,5	1,3	1,2	1,3	2,0	0,0	1,5	1,0	1,3	0,5	0,5	1,4	1,3	1,2
	energy in total final energy																								
7		0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	13,5	17,2	3,7	16,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	27,2	0,0	20,9	16,3	0,0	13,4	5,6
8	Adjusted Growth (%)	-1,1	-2,4	-0,2	0,0	-2,1	-2,4	-0,8	-2,3	0,1	-1,8	-5,5	-5,9	-9,1	3,1	-2,5	2,0	0,0	-0,3	0,0	0,0	-0,2	-3,0	0,8	-1,4
0	Prevalence of Modern Slavery	-1,1	-2,4	-0,2	0,1	-2,1	-2,4	-0,8	-2,3	0,1	-1,8	-5,5	-5,9	-9,1	3,1	-2,5	2,0	0,1	-0,3	0,0	0,0	-0,2	-3,0	0,8	-1,4
0	(victims per 1,000 population)	6,9	2,7	3,4	6,0	4,2	5,5	2,0	7,9	3,9	2,4	1,8	1,7	0,0	0,0	2,4	5,9	8,7	2,5	0,0	2,2	2,3	2,2	6,5	3,5
0	Adults (15 years and older) with an	6,9	2,/	3,4	0,0	4,2	5,5	2,0	7,9	3,9	2,4	1,0	1,/	0,0	0,0	2,4	5,9	0,/	2,5	0,0	2,2	2,3	2,2	0,5	3,5
	account at a bank or other financial																								
8	institu	40.0	42.8	58.8	05.4	00.7	22.0	040	05.5	92,8	02.0	42.5	44.8	c = =	97,4	20.5	68.4	700	92,3	23,3	97,5	93,8	36,9	68.6	67,5
٥		40,0	42,8	58,8	86,1	88,7	32,8	94,0	85,5	92,8	93,8	42,5	44,8	65,7	97,4	28,6	68,4	76,6	92,3	23,3	97,5	93,8	36,9	68,6	67,5
	Unemployment rate (% total labor force)		40.4	25.4		40.4	44.0		24.4	2.0	40.5	44.7		45.7	2.0	0.2	45.4	22.2		440			45.0		
٥	Fatal work-related accidents	15,1	10,1	26,1	9,1	10,4	11,8	8,8	21,1	3,8	10,6	14,7	6,7	15,7	3,9	9,3	16,1	22,3	7,3	14,9	6,2	14,5	15,3	11,1	12,4
	embodied in imports (deaths per																								
	100,000)	0.2			0.5	4.2		4.0				0.5					4.5	0.2			4.0	4.5	0.2		
8	OECD only: Employment-to-	0,2	0,1	0,1	0,5	1,3	0,1	1,9	0,9	0,7	0,9	0,5	0,9	0,2	1,5	0,1	1,6	0,2	0,9	0,1	1,0	1,5	0,3	0,2	0,7
8	Population ratio (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	64,7	53,5	69,0	58,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	67,8	0,0	69,3	61,1	0,0	51,6	21,5
	OECD only: Youth not in																								
	employment, education or training																								
	(NEET) (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	16,5	22,8	13,6	25,1	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	12,4	0,0	10,9	19,9	0,0	27,2	6,5
9	Population using the internet (%)	71,8	47,7	69,5	67,1	80,7	45,0	80,5	69,9	81,6	61,3	66,8	78,2	21,8	80,1	61,8	71,3	76,3	73,8	34,3	78,9	84,6	55,5	64,7	66,2
	Mobile broadband subscriptions																								
9	,	69,3	78,4	43,4	79,7	106,4	50,1	87,5	63,4	105,1	87,9	100,0	51,3	36,9	84,0	58,3	66,5	60,8	68,9	12,5	70,0	94,1	65,0	70,5	70,0
	Logistics performance index:																								
_	Quality of trade and transport-																								
9	related infrastructu	2,3	2,4	2,4	3,0	2,9	2,8	4,0	3,2	3,3	3,9	2,7	2,6	2,2	2,9	2,4	2,6	2,5	3,2	2,5	3,3	3,8	2,1	3,2	2,9
	The Times Higher Education																								
_	Universities Ranking : Average																								
9	score of top 3 univers	0,0	19,8	0,0	26,1	44,0	29,7	66,8	35,9	49,2	55,8	26,5	34,5	0,0	0,0	22,5	0,0	0,0	36,6	0,0	26,1	55,7	17,1	39,1	25,4
	Number of scientific and technical																								
	journal articles (per 1,000																								
9	population)	0,1	0,1	0,1	1,0	0,8	0,1	1,1	1,0	1,5	1,2	0,2	0,2	0,0	0,7	0,1	0,4	0,2	1,3	0,0	1,6	1,1	0,5	0,4	0,6
_	Research and development																								
9	expenditure (% GDP)	0,2	0,1	0,2	0,9	0,5	0,7	2,2	1,0	4,3	1,3	0,3	0,0	0,0	0,6	0,7	0,4	0,4	1,3	0,0	2,0	1,2	0,6	0,9	0,9
	OECD only: Research and																								
	development researchers (per 1,000																								
9	employed)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	10,1	7,2	17,4	5,1	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	8,8	0,0	8,4	6,7	0,0	3,7	2,9
_	OECD only: Triadic Patent Families																								
9	filed (per million population)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	40,0	2,7	57,4	13,1	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,8	0,0	4,9	4,9	0,0	0,6	5,5
	OECD only: Gap in internet access																								
9	by income (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	22,4	40,0	42,7	47,4	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	43,1	0,0	36,5	30,7	0,0	0,0	11,4
_	OECD only: Women in science and																								
9	engineering (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	29,2	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	38,1	0,0	31,1	27,1	0,0	30,7	6,8
	Gini Coefficient adjusted for top																								
	income (1-100)	41,8	31,5	33,8	38,2	35,5	49,7	32,6	44,9	45,2	38,2	43,2	38,3	0,0	29,4	41,2	40,7	45,7	42,6	35,8	27,5	38,4	41,3	48,4	37,6
10	OECD only: Palma ratio	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,1	1,3	1,4	1,3	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,3	0,0	0,8	1,3	0,0	1,9	0,4
10	OECD only: Elderly Poverty Rate (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	3,4	7,8	19,4	10,3	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	9,5	0,0	12,3	9,4	0,0	17,0	3,9

Table 5 | Indicators to calculate SDG Indexes

SDG	Indicator	Albania	Algeria	Bos Herz	Croatia	Cyprus	Egypt	France	Greece	Israel	Italy	Jordan	Lebanon	Libya	Malta	Morocc <u>o</u>	Montenegro	Macedonia	Portuga <u>l</u>	Syria	Slovenia	Spain	Tunisia	Turkey	MED average
	Annual mean concentration of																								
	particulate matter of less than 2.5																								
11	microns of diam	18,2	38,9	27,7	17,9	17,3	87,0	11,8	16,2	21,4	16,8	33,0	30,6	54,3	13,9	32,6	20,8	29,7	8,2	43,8	16,0	9,7	37,7	44,3	28,2
	Improved water source, piped (%																								
11	urban population with access)	92,4	81,9	96.1	99.6	100,0	97.9	100,0	100,0	100.0	100,0	87.4	0.0	0.0	100.0	94.1	94.1	98,3	100.0	90.2	99.3	99.9	96.2	98.6	88,1
	Satisfaction with public transport	,	,	,	, .	, .	,	, .	, .	, .		,		.,.	, .	,	,	/-	,	,		/-		,-	,
11		57,1	57,7	49.4	47.8	49,8	71,0	62,9	57.0	57,4	42,4	65,4	51,8	45,7	57,1	55,1	38,8	51,6	55,2	15,3	64,5	65.0	39.8	57,8	52,9
	OECD only: Rent overburden rate	- /		-,	,-	-,-	,						,,,	- /			, .	- /-		-,-		,	,-	- /-	
11		0,0	0,0	0,0	0.0	0,0	0,0	12,4	25,6	0,0	11,4	0.0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	11,8	0,0	5,9	17,4	0,0	0,0	3,7
	Municipal Solid Waste	.,.	-,-	.,.	-,-		-,-	,	-,-	.,.	•	.,.		.,.	.,.		-,-	-,-	,-	.,.	-,-	,	-,-	-,-	•
12	(kg/day/capita)	0,8	1,2	0,0	0,3	2,1	1,4	1,9	2,0	2,1	2,2	1,0	1,2	0,0	1,8	1,5	0,0	1,1	2,2	1,4	1,2	2,1	0,8	1,8	1,3
	E-waste generated (kg/capita)	7,1	6.2	6,5	12,6	19,1	5,5	21,3	17,5	14,1	18,9	5,6	11,1	11,0	15,5	3,7	10,0	7,2	17,3	0,0	16,1	20,1	5,6	7,9	11,3
	Production-based SO2 emissions	,,_	0,2	0,5	12,0	13,1	3,3	21,5	11,5	14,1	10,5	3,0	11,1	11,0	10,0	3,,	10,0	,,_	17,5	0,0	10,1	20,1	3,0	.,5	11,5
12	(kg/capita)	7,8	8,5	0.0	16,9	29,6	7,6	7,2	45,3	56,3	5,9	0.0	0.0	8,5	32,1	12,2	0,0	0,0	11,4	0.0	8,1	25,1	17,2	22,4	14.0
12	(kg/capita)	7,0	0,5	0,0	10,5	23,0	7,0	7,2	43,3	30,3	2,2	0,0	0,0	0,5	32,1	12,2	0,0	0,0	11,4	0,0	0,1	23,1	17,2	22,4	14,0
12	Imported SO2 emissions (kg/capita)	7,8	0.7	-10,9	11,7	23,2	-0,6	13.8	16,8	-1,7	17,9	-1,4	-1,4	0,7	11,6	0,0	-10,9	-10,9	8.5	-1.4	17.4	8.7	-6,7	3.1	4,2
12	Nitrogen production footprint	7,0	0,7	-10,5	11,/	23,2	-0,0	13,0	10,0	-1,/	17,5	-1,4	-1,4	0,7	11,0	0,0	-10,5	-10,5	0,5	-1,4	17,4	0,7	-0,7	3,1	7,2
12	(kg/capita)	15,6	10,8	16,5	23,2	48,0	0.0	48.1	56,9	56,7	38,3	13,3	21,4	20,0	47,1	0,0	0,0	17,8	42,8	9,5	34,7	47,4	12,9	25,2	26,4
12	Net imported emissions of reactive	13,0	10,6	10,3	23,2	40,0	0,0	40,1	30,5	30,7	30,3	13,3	21,4	20,0	47,1	0,0	0,0	17,0	42,0	5,5	34,7	47,4	12,5	23,2	20,4
12	nitrogen (kg/capita)	-24.8	-13.3	-19.2	53.5	170.5	0.0	122.4	215.0	381.9	172.6	38.1	97.1	-92.8	255.2	0.0	329.3	0.0	201.2	0.0	125.0	81.2	-25.6	35.2	91,4
12	mitrogen (kg/capita)	-24,0	-13,3	-15,2	33,3	170,3	0,0	122,4	213,0	301,3	172,0	30,1	37,1	-52,0	233,2	0,0	323,3	0,0	201,2	0,0	123,0	01,2	-23,0	33,2	31,4
	OECD only: Non-Recycled Municipal																								
12	Solid Waste (kg/day/capita)	0,0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,0	1,1	1,1	1,5	1,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,0	0,0	0,7	1,0	0,0	1,1	0,4
12	Energy-related CO2 emissions per	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,1	1,1	1,5	1,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,0	0,0	0,7	1,0	0,0	1,1	0,4
12	capita (tCO2/capita)	1,4	3,4	6.3	4.0	5,4	2.0	5.0	5.4	8.1	5.5	1 9	2.4	0.3	6.3	1.5	4.0	3.3	4.6	2.3	6.4	5.1	1.9	4.2	4,3
15	Imported CO2 emissions,	1,4	3,4	0,3	4,0	3,4	2,0	5,0	5,4	0,1	3,3	1,9	2,4	8,2	0,3	1,5	4,0	3,3	4,0	2,3	0,4	5,1	1,9	4,2	4,3
10	technology-adjusted (tCO2/capita)	0,7	-0.1	0,2	0.3	1,9	-0,2	1,1	1,5	-1,0	1,2	0.4	1.1	-0.4	-0.6	0,5	2,1	0,6	0.5	-0.5	-1,4	0.2	0.9	0.7	0,4
13	People affected by climate-related	0,7	-0,1	0,2	0,3	1,9	-0,2	1,1	1,5	-1,0	1,2	0,4	1,1	-0,4	-0,0	0,5	2,1	0,0	0,5	-0,5	-1,4	0,2	0,9	0,7	0,4
12	disasters (per 100,000 population)	469,0	195,2	14030,1	67.9	0.0	17,2	3.8	10,4	245.6	2,5	1.0	8559,5	0.0	0.0	1455,5	31,8	1799,1	8.4	18.8	1268,9	0.6	137,4	2,9	1231,5
15	CO2 emissions embodied in fossil	409,0	195,2	14030,1	67,9	0,0	17,2	3,0	10,4	245,0	2,5	1,0	8559,5	0,0	0,0	1455,5	31,0	1799,1	8,4	10,0	1208,9	0,0	137,4	2,9	1231,5
12	fuel exports (kg/capita)	893.0	24044	0.3	477.6	0.0	455.5	457.3	39,6	9.1	50.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	115,7		23,1	0.0		123,2		3.2	252.0
13	OECD only: Effective Carbon Rate	893,0	3194,1	0,3	177,6	0,0	155,6	157,3	39,6	9,1	58,0	1,4	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	115,/	0,0	23,1	0,0	451,4	123,2	411,1	3,2	252,8
	from all non-road energy, excluding																								
12	emissions f							44.0	22.6	28.8	20.5	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0			22.2	42.5		0.4	
15	Mean area that is protected in	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	11,8	22,6	28,8	20,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	9,0	0,0	23,3	12,5	0,0	8,1	5,9
	· ·																								
	marine sites important to																								
14	biodiversity (%)	78,6	54,9	0,0	77,0	37,4	64,8	80,0	68,5	3,7	74,1	0,0	17,8	0,0	90,2	34,5	0,0	0,0	64,8	0,0	99,6	82,6	44,6	4,3	42,5
4.6	Ocean Health Index Goal - Clean																								
14	Waters (0-100)	57,3	40,5	41,0	63,7	53,2	49,5	49,1	61,3	32,9	50,2	48,5	30,1	57,1	46,0	52,8	59,5	0,0	51,1	38,3	28,2	50,5	50,1	50,0	46,1
	Percentage of Fish Stocks																								
	overexploited or collapsed by EEZ																								
14		0,0	65,2	0,0	7,0	66,6	27,4	19,6	48,6	0,0	75,1	0,0	0,0	67,1	12,5	6,3	0,0	0,0	70,5	0,0	0,0	35,3	5,8	79,7	25,5
14	Fish caught by trawling (%)	86,3	29,6	0,0	17,9	0,0	34,5	27,8	21,8	52,3	51,8	0,0	10,0	19,9	93,6	62,0	52,8	0,0	11,3	22,0	89,7	33,6	28,1	33,8	33,9

Table 5 | Indicators to calculate SDG Indexes

SDG Indicator		Albania	Algeria	Bos Herz	Croatia	Cyprus	Fevot	France	Greece	Israel	Italy	lordan	Lebanon	libva	Malta	Morocco	Montenegro	Macedonia	Portugal	Svria	Slovenia	Spain	Tunisia	Turkev	MED average
Mean ar	rea that is protected in		8			- , p	-67 F*				,			,-						-,		-		,	
terrestri	ial sites important to																								
15 biodiver	rsity (%)	67,0	38,8	12,0	72,0	57,8	39,6	81,2	73,2	15,7	78,0	0,0	13,1	4.6	99.4	43,0	11,9	21,1	73,9	1,1	88,7	56,3	40,8	2,3	43,1
	rea that is protected in	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,-	,.	-,-	,-	.,-	,.	,.	,-	,-	,-	-,-	/-	,-	,-	_,-	,_
	ater sites important to																								
15 biodiver	•	89.5	49.0	40.0	80.2	0.0	28.5	78,0	88.4	26.1	84.7	0,0	21.1	0.0	0,0	80.8	0.0	86.0	64.0	4.3	93.1	44.8	43.4	4.1	43,7
	t Index of species survival (0-	,-	-,-	.,.	,	-,-	-,-	-,-		.,	,	.,.	•	-,-	.,.	,-	.,.	, .		,-	,	,-	-,	,	•
15 1)		0,9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1,0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9	1,0	0,9	1,0	0,9	0.9	0,8	1,0	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.9	0,9
	ent Deforestation (5 year	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-		-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-
15 average	, ,	0,0	0,6	0,0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0,6	0,0	0,1
_	ed biodiversity threats	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-
	per million population)	4,8	0,7	3,8	7,9	10,9	0,3	11,3	7,6	5,1	7,0	2,5	4,2	2,1	15,5	0,7	41,2	0,0	8,9	0,7	14,0	8,8	1,6	1,5	7,0
15 (timedis	per minion population,	4,0	0,,	3,0	.,5	10,5	0,5	11,5	,,0	5,1	,,0	2,3	-1,2	-,-	13,3	0,,	72,2	0,0	0,5	0,,	14,0	0,0	1,0	1,5	,,0
16 Homicid	des (per 100,000 population)	2,7	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.1	2,5	1,4	0.8	1.4	0.7	1.5	4.0	2,5	0,9	1,2	4.5	1,6	0.6	2,2	0.5	0,6	3,0	4.3	1,8
	enced detainees (%)	0,5	0.1	0,2	0,2	0,2	0.0	0,3	0,8	0.3	0,7	0,4	0,5	0.9	0,2	0,4	0.3	0,1	0,0	0.0	0,1	0,0	0,5	0.1	0,3
	ion of the population who	0,5	0,1	٥,٢	٥,٢	٥,٢	0,0	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,2	0,4	0,5	0,5	0,2	0,4	0,5	0,1	۵,۲	0,0	0,1	0,1	0,5	0,1	0,5
	e walking alone at night in																								
16 the city		65,9	64,3	67,1	75,1	73,6	87,0	73,5	57,5	75,1	63,7	81,4	55,3	54,1	75,9	63,8	68,4	71,5	75,8	32,2	90,4	84,1	62,9	56,8	68,5
16 Property		3.6	3.8	3.1	3.7	4.5	3.6	5,5	4.0	5.7	4.2	4.8	3,9	2.6	5,1	4.6	3.9	4.0	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.1	4,2
	gistrations with civil	3,0	3,0	3,1	3,7	4,5	3,0	5,5	4,0	3,7	4,2	4,0	3,3	2,0	3,1	4,0	3,3	4,0	4,0	4,5	4,5	4,0	4,5	4,1	7,2
_	ty, children under 5 years of																								
16 age (%)		98,6	99,6	99,5	0,0	100.0	99.4	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	99,1	99,5	0.0	100,0	94,0	99,4	99,7	100,0	96,0	100,0	100,0	99,2	98,8	90,6
10 age (70)		98,0	99,0	99,5	0,0	100,0	99,4	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	99,1	99,5	0,0	100,0	94,0	99,4	99,7	100,0	96,0	100,0	100,0	99,2	90,0	90,6
16 Corrupti	ion Perception Index (0-100)	36,0	35.0	38.0	48.0	59,0	35,0	72,0	45,0	61,0	52,0	49,0	28,0	17,0	54,0	43,0	45,0	37,0	64,0	13,0	60,0	58,0	43,0	41.0	44,9
	n 5–14 years old involved in	30,0	35,0	30,0	48,0	59,0	35,0	72,0	45,0	01,0	52,0	49,0	28,0	17,0	54,0	43,0	45,0	37,0	64,0	13,0	60,0	38,0	43,0	41,0	44,9
16 child lab		5,1	5.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 7	1.9	0.0	0.0	8.3	12.5	12.5	3.4	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	5.9	3,2
	ers of major conventional	5,1	5,0	3,3	0,0	0,0	7,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,/	1,9	0,0	0,0	8,3	12,5	12,5	3,4	4,0	0,0	0,0	2,1	5,5	3,2
	ns (exports) (constant 1990																								
16 US\$ mill			0.0		0.4			2.0	0.3	40.2	4.5	0.5			4.5				0.5	0.0	0.0	4.0		0.0	
	m of Press Index (best 0 -	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,1	0,0	0,0	3,0	0,3	10,2	1,2	0,5	0,0	0,0	1,2	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,6	0,0	0,0	1,8	0,0	0,3	0,8
16 100 wor		29.5	43.1	27.4	28.9	19.9	56.7	21.9	29.2	30.3	24.1		31.2	56.8	27.4	42.4	24.2	32.4	14.2	79.2	21.7	20.5	30.9		24.6
	,	29,5	43,1	27,4	28,9	19,9	56,/	21,9	29,2	30,3	24,1	41,7	31,2	56,8	27,4	43,1	31,2	32,4	14,2	79,2	21,/	20,5	30,9	53,5	34,6
16 100,000	only: Prison Population (per	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	105.0	05.4	201.7	00.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1241	0.0	63.0	120.4	0.0	226.5	47.6
		0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	105,8	85,4	261,7	89,8	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	134,1	0,0	63,0	128,4	0,0	226,5	47,6
	ment Health and Education	6.3		0.0	40.4	0.2		42.2		0.5	40.4			0.0		7.0			40.4		0.0	400	40.4	7.0	
17 spending		6,3	7,4	0,0	10,4	9,3	5,4	13,3	8,2	9,5	10,1	0,0	6,2	0,0	11,1	7,8	0,0	0,0	10,1	6,/	9,9	10,0	10,4	7,0	6,9
	n-income and all OECD DAC																								
	es: International																								
	sional public fi	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,4	0,2	0,1	0,3	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,0	0,2	0,2	0,0	0,0	0,1
	ountries : Government																								
	e excluding Grants (% GDP)	25,7	40,4	38,1	0,0	0,0	21,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	23,8	19,8	0,0	0,0	32,7	0,0	26,4	0,0	22,7	0,0	0,0	31,4	29,7	13,6
	ven Score (best 0-5 worst)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,2
	only: Financial Secrecy Score																								
17 (best 0-:	-100 worst)	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	51,7	57,9	63,3	49,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	54,7	0,0	41,8	47,7	0,0	68,0	18,9